ORIGINAL ARTICLES

OCULAR COMPLICATIONS OF SILICONE OIL

Muhammad Kamran Khalid, Naveed Ahmed*, Yasir Nadeem**, Nadeem Qureshi*

Gomal Medical College, Dera Ismail Khan Pakistan, *AI-Shifa Trust Eye Hospital, Rawalpindi Pakistan, ** Armed Forces Institute of

Ophthalmology, Rawalpindi Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: Silicone oil (SO) is an invaluable tool in the management of complex retinal detachments (RDs). Injection of silicone oil is associated with a variety of ocular complications specially when it is kept for a long time and its removal is endangering retinal re-detachment. The objective of this study was to determine the frequencies of different ocular complications associated with silicone oil injection in our setup.

Study Design: Case series.

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at Vitreo-retina division of AI-Shifa Trust Eye Hospital, Rawalpindi from January 2014 to June 2014.

Material and Methods: A total of 30 patients were included in the study who underwent pars-planavitrectomy (PPV) with silicone oil injection for complex retinal detachments. The patients who had reached between 3 months & 6 months of their postoperative period and were presenting with some complications related to silicone oil injection were included in the study. Their records were reviewed and pre-operative data were collected regarding state of the eye preoperatively. Then the post-operative complications were noted. The descriptive and analytical statistics of different variables were measured using SPSS-17.0 software.

Results: Out of thirty patients included in our study 23 (76.7%) were male and 7 (23.3%) were female. The mean age was 21.53 ± 16.004 years and range was 66 years. The mean pre-operative intra-ocular pressure (IOP) was 14.0 ± 2.150 mmHg and range 8 mmHg and the mean post-operative IOP was 24.93 ± 13.889 mmHg and range 45 mmHg (p=0.001. The pre-operative PVR grade-C was absent in 12 (40%) patients and was present in 18 (60%) patients and post-operative PVR grade-C was absent in 24 (80%) patients and was present in 6 (20%) patients (p=0.004; McNemar test). Band keratopathy was seen in 8 (26.7%) and corneal decompensation in 2 (6.7%) patients. Emulsification of silicone oil was seen in 14 (46.7%) patients. Rubeosisiridis was present in 2 (6.7%) patients.

Conclusion: Apart from other complications, raised intraocular pressure is a significant postoperative complication of eyes with silicone oil injection, so should be kept in mind because if not addressed properly it may lead to irreversible blindness in these cases.

Keywords: Band keratopathy, Intraocularpressure, Proliferative vitreo-retinopathy, Retinal detachment, Silicone oil.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Silicone oil (SO) was first introduced as an internal tamponade agent in the early 1960s¹. It has since grown into an invaluable tool to the retinal surgeon in managing complex rhegmatogenous retinal detachments, especially

those with severe Proliferative Vitreo-Retinopathy (PVR). Indications of silicone oil have been extended to include the treatment of giant retinal tears, viral retinitis, traumatic retinal detachments, proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR), complicated pediatric retinal detachments, macular hole surgeries, and endophthalmitis.

Silicone oil has got unique physical properties of specific gravity, buoyancy, surface tension and viscosity which make it suitable for

Correspondence: Dr Muhammad Kamran Khalid, House No.1592/C, Hayatullah Street, DI Khan,KPK, Pakistan

Email:drkamrankhalid786@gmail.com

Received: 23 Dec 2014; revised received: 17 Apr 2015; accepted: 24 Jun 2015

use as intraocular tamponade²⁻⁵. Even then the use of silicone oil is not without complications. Important complications encountered include glaucoma⁶, chronic hypotony⁷, cataract formation⁸, migration into anterior segment causing damage to corneal endothelium and anterior chamber angle, emulsification9,10 and keratopathy which may be in the form either band keratopathy, which is more commonly seen in the early stages, and bullous keratopathy in the late stages¹¹. Contact between silicone oil and the corneal endothelium has been thought of as a major contributor to the development of keratopathy.

A lot has been mentioned about incidence and management of different complications in literature. The purpose of this study was to determine the frequencies of different ocular complications secondary to silicone oil injection in our setup.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This case series was conducted at Vitreoretina division of AI-Shifa Trust Eye Hospital, Rawalpindi from January 2014 to June 2014. Purposive sampling technique was used and a total of 30 patients who underwent parsplanavitrectomy (PPV) with silicone oil injection for complex retinal detachments, had reached between 3 months & 6 months of their postoperative period and were presenting with some complications related to silicone oil injection were included in the study. The patients presenting with similar complications but silicone oil has been removed from their eyes were excluded from the study.

Their records were reviewed and preoperative data were collected regarding state of the eye preoperatively. Vitreo-retinal surgery in the past and a definite history of blunt ocular trauma was documented. Pre-operative status of the crystalline lens, intraocular pressure (IOP) and any congenital anomalies were noted. Extent of retinal detachment was recorded as involving one quadrant, sub-total (2 to 3 quadrants) or total (4 quadrants) and associated proliferative vitreoretinopathy was classified according to the Machemer Classification of Proliferative Vitreoretinopathy, 1991¹².

All the surgeries were performed by a single vitreo-retinal surgeon using the standard three port pars-plana vitrectomy technique and 5000centistoke silicone oil was used in all cases. The post-operative data of intraocular pressure, proliferative vitreo-retinopathy, emulsification of silicone oil, band keratopathy and corneal decompensation were recorded through detailed ocular examination slit-lamp using biomicroscopy with applanation tonometry and ophthalmoscopy. indirect Gonioscopic examination was performed where needed.

The descriptive and analytical statistics of different variables were measured using SPSS-17.0 software. Paired samples t-test was used for comparing numerical variables (Pre- and post-operative IOP) and McNemar test was used for comparing categorical variables (Pre- and post-operative PVR). *p*-value of <0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Out of thirty patients included in our study 23 (76.7%) were male and 7 (23.3%) were female. The mean age was 21.53 ± 16.004 years and range was 66 years (min 6yrs to max 72yrs). Sixteen (53.3%) patients were phakic, 8(26.7%) were pseudophakic and 6(20%) were aphakic. Ten (33.3%) patients had a history of previous vitreoretinal surgery while 20(66.7%) patients had no history of such surgery. There was no definite history of blunt ocular trauma in 20(66.7%) patients while 10(33.3%) had a history of blunt ocular trauma to the eye. No congenital ocular anomaly was seen in 27(90%) patients but 3(10%) had a congenital ocular anomaly. Regarding the extent of preoperative retinal detachment, there was total RD in 16(53.3%), subtotal RD in 11 (36.2%) and one guadrant RD in 3(10%) patients.

The mean pre-operative IOP was 14 ±2.150 mm Hg with a range of 8 mmHg (min 10 to max 18 mmHg) and the mean post-operative IOP was 24.93 ± 13.889 mm Hg with a range of 45 mmHg (min 7 to max 52 mmHg)(95% CI, -15.657 to - 6.210; t = -4.734; *p*<0.001, paired samples t-test).

The pre-operative PVR grade-C was absent in 12 (40%) patients and was present in 18 (60%) patients and post-operative PVR grade-C was absent in 24 (80%) patients and was present in 6 (20%) patients (p=0.004; McNemar test). Band keratopathy was seen in 8 (26.7%) and corneal decompensation in 2 (6.7%) patients. Emulsification of silicone oil was seen in 14 (46.7%) patients. Rubeosisiridis was present in 2 (6.7%) patients

DISCUSSION

Silicone oil is an effective intraocular tamponade. It is often used in the repair of proliferative vitreo-retinoathy, proliferative diabetic retinopathy, viral retinitis, traumatic retinal injuries, recurrent RD, giant retinal tears and macular holes. However, the use of silicone oil as endotamponade may be associated with an increased incidence of elevated IOP. The cause of raised IOP following the use of endotamponade in complicated RD surgeries may be multifactorial, including previous vitreoretinal procedures, inflammation, and overfilling. Clinically significant increased IOP could represent a complication following vitreoretinal procedures, which can lead to the development of secondary glaucoma. In a study performed by Riedel KG et al¹³ it was reported that 21% (80 out of 383 eyes) of patients treated with silicone oil for complex RD had an elevated IOP (greater than 25 mmHg) at the 12-month follow-up. Local studies had also reported significant number of patients who had raised IOP (greater than 25 mmHg) at three months followup^{14,15}.

In our study there is a statistically significant rise of post-operative IOP as compared to preoperative IOP (p<0.05). In fact this is the most common and drastic complication of silicone oil injection and may lead to blindness if not addressed properly. There is also a statistically significant reduction of post-operative PVR-C (high grade PVR) as compared to pre-operative PVR-C (*p*<0.05) which shows the effectiveness of silicone oil injection in reducing PVR and is the ultimate aim of silicone oil injection in these cases.

Some degree of emulsification of silicone oil has been reported to occur in 56%–100% of cases over a period of months to years^{16,17}. Multiple factors may contribute to silicone oil emulsification, including the use of low-viscosity silicone oils¹⁸, residual fluid in the vitreous cavity, and hemorrhage or leakage of other blood constituents from the breakdown of the blood–aqueous barrier after surgery. Even the oil/aqueous movement generated by high-speed vitrectomy handpieces may result in shearing forces causing silicone oil emulsification¹⁹. In our study a significant number (46.7%) of patients developed emulsification of silicone oil.

Similarly corneal complications in the form of band keratopathy (26.7%) and corneal decompensation (6.7%) are also observed which is in accordance with other studies¹⁹. Rubeosis iridis (6.7%) was also seen with almost similar frequency as in other studies²⁰.

CONCLUSION

Apart from other complications raised intraocular pressure is a significant postoperative complication of eyes with silicone oil injection, so should be kept in mind because if not addressed properly it may lead to irreversible blindness in these cases.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cibis PA, Becker B, Okun E. The use of liquid silicone in retinal detachment surgery. Arch Ophthalmol. 1962;68:590–9.
- Parver LM, Lincoff H. Mechanics of intraocular gas. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1978;17:77-9.
- 3. Ference M, Lemon HB. Analytical experimental physics. 2nd ed. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press; 1956.141–68.
- 4. Yamanaka A, Matsuda T, Nakamae K. Interfacial aspects of liquid silicone as an artificial vitreous body. Presented at the Fifth Vail Vitreoretinal Seminar; 1986 Mar 14-15; Vail, CO.
- Williams RL, Day M, Garvey MJ. Increasing the extensional viscosity of silicone reduces the tendency for emulsification. Retina. 2010; 30:300–4.

- Madreperla SA, McCuen BW II. Inferior peripheral iridectomy in patients receiving silicone oil. Retina. 1995;15:87–90.
- Bartov E, Huna R, Ashkenazi I. Identification, prevention and treatment of silicone oil pupillary block after an inferior iridectomy. Am J Ophthalmol. 1991;111:501–4.
- Silicone Study Group. Silicone Study Report 4. Postoperative intraocular pressure abnormalities in the Silicone Study: results of a randomized clinical trial. Ophthalmology. 1993;100:1629–35.
- Khawly J, Lambert RJ, Jaffe GJ. Intraocular lens changes after short- and longterm exposure to intraocular silicone oil. An in vivo study. Ophthalmology.1998;105:1227–33.
- 10. Avitabile T, Bonfiglio V, Cicero A. Correlation between quantity of silicone oil emulsified in the anterior chamber and high pressure in vitrectomized eyes. Retina. 2002;22:443–8.
- 11. Gabel VP, Kampik A, Gabel C. Silicone oil with high specific gravity for intraocular use. Br J Ophthalmol. 1987;71:262–7.
- Machemer R, Aaberg TM, Freeman HM, Irvine AR, Lean JS, Michels RM. An updated classification of retinal detachment with proliferative vitreoretinopathy. Am J Ophthalmol. 1991; 112:159-165.
- 13. Silicone Study Group. Silicone Study Report 7. The incidence of corneal abnormalities in the silicone study: results of a

randomized clinical trial. Arch Ophthalmol. 1995; 113:764-9.

- Hassan M, Kazi A, Qidwai U, Rehman AU, Bhatti N. Assessment of the complications secondary to silicone oil injection after pars planavitrectomy in rhegmatogenous retinal detachment in early post operative phase. Pak J Ophthalmol. 2011; 27(2): 68-72.
- Abbas M, Qureshi N, Ishaq N, MumtazM. Complications associated with the use of 5000 - centistoke silicone oil after vitreo-retinal surgery. Pak Armed Forces Med J. 2007; 57(1):49-55.
- Avitabile T, Bonfiglio V, Cicero A. Correlation between quantity of silicone oil emulsified in the anterior chamber and high pressure in vitrectomized eyes. Retina. 2002; 22: 443–8.
- 17. Gabel VP, Kampik A, Gabel C. Silicone oil with high specific gravity for intraocular use. Br J Ophthalmol. 1987;71:262–7.
- 18. Crisp A, deJuan E Jr, Tiedeman J. Effect of silicone oil viscosity on emulsification. Arch Ophthalmol. 1987;105:546–50.
- 19. Baillif S, Gastaud P. Complications of silicone oil tamponade. J FrOphtalmol. 2014 Mar;37(3):259-65.
- Morphis G, Irigoyen C, Eleuteri A, Stappler T, Pearce I, Heimann H. Retrospective review of 50 eyes with long-term silicone oiltamponade for more than 12 months. Graefes Arch ClinExpOphthalmol. 2012; 250(5): 645-52.