PANCREATICOJEJUNOSTOMY OR PANCREATICOGASTROSTOMY TO PREVENT PANCREATIC FISTULA FORMATION AFTER PANCREATICODUODENECTOMY

Maqbool Ahmed. Syed Mukarram Hussain*

Army Medical College Rawalpindi Pakistan, *Combined Military Hospital Rawalpindi Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the results of pancreaticogastrostomy (PJ) with pancreaticojejunostomy (PG) in preventing fistula formation after pancreaticoduonectomy (PD).

Study design: Quasi-experimental study.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was carried out in Military and Combined Military Hospital Rawalpindi from August 2008 to March 2015

Material and methods: Patients of both gender aged 45-70 years with confirmed or suspected neoplasms of head of pancreas, periampullary or duodenal tumours were included. After pancreatico duodenectomy the pancreatic reconstruction was carried out either by pancreatico jejunostomy (duct to mucosa type, dunken in type) or double layer pancreatico gastrostmy. The fistula rate was recorded after both the procedures using the definition of International Study Group on Pancreatic Fistula.

Results: During this study period, 30 patients underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy. In 21 (71.4%) patients pancreaticojejunostomy was done, (18 duct to mucosa type and three dunken type anastomosis) and in 9 (28.5%) patients pancreaticogastrostomy was carried out. Five (20%) patients (3 in duct to mucosa type and two in dunken type) in the pancreaticojejunostomy group developed pancreatic fistula (grade A=2, grade B=2 and grade C=1) and one patient (11.1%) in the pancreaticogastrostomy group developed postoperative pancreatic fistula (OR=9.9, 95% CI: 1.06-92.7 p=0.044)

Conclusion: In patients undergoing PD for pancreatic head, periampullary or duodenal tumours, PG is more effective than PJ in reducing the frequency of post-operative pancreatic fistula

Keywords: Pancreaticogastrostomy, Pancreaticojejunostomy, Pancreatic fistula.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) is the standard surgical procedure for various malignant and benign conditions of the pancreas and periampullarly region and duodenum¹. With the advances in operative techniques, availability of newer instruments, better anaesthesia and post operative care, the mortality of PD has decreased to below 5%1, but the morbidity still remains high (up to 40%) even in the best centres^{2,3}. The most common complications after PD are pancreatic fistula, delayed gastric emptying, haemorrhage and Pancreaticojejunal infection^{4,5}. anastomosis (duct to mucosa, end to end, end to side, and telescoping/invagination) is the most widely

used method of reconstruction after PD, The main concern remains pancreatic leak after this procedure (2-20%)6 which often leads to, intra abdominal haemorrhage and sepsis resulting in hospitalization prolonged reoperation, increased cost and mortality⁷. Several technique modifications of pancreaticojejunal anastomosis such as placement of the stent, reinforcement of anasomosis with fibrin glue, pancreatic duct occlusion are used in order to decrease pancreatic fistula rate8. The aim of this study was to analyze the results of pancreaticojejunostomy (PJ) and pancreaticogastrostoy (PG) in terms of pancreatic fistula formation.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This quasi-experimental study was conducted at Military and Combined Military Hospital (MH & CMH) Rawalpindi from August 2008 to March 2013. Patients of both gender, aged 45 to 70 years with confirmed or suspected neoplasm of head of pancreas,

Correspondence: Dr Maqbool Ahmed, House No. 62, St. No. 8, Valley Road Rawalpindi, Pakistan

Email: maqbool957@hotmail.com

Received: 23 Oct 2014; revised received: 6 Apr 2015; accepted: 07 Apr 2015

periampuallary or duodenal tumours were included. One case of PD due to trauma was excluded from the study. Thirty patients were included in the study through non probability convenience sampling. Twenty one patients underwent PJ, 18 duct to mucosa type and 3 cases telescoping of pancreas into jejunum was done. In 9 cases double layered invagination type PG was carried out on the posterior wall of the stomach using PDS sutures. These were the cases where pancreatic duct was either not visible or the size was less than 2 mm. Two drains were placed in both type of anastomses one close to pancreatic anastomosis other in the subhepatic place. These procedures were carried out by two surgeons. The fistula rate was recorded using ISPGF description.

which was grade A type and resolved spontaneously. Frequency of PF was significantly higher in PJ group as compared to PG group (p= 0.044 OR= 9.9 CI 1.06-92.7)

DISCUSSION

In Pakistan pancreatic surgery has remained a nightmare for quiet sometime because of associated increased morbidity, mortality and lack of trained surgeons in this field. Now a days it is increasingly being performed in many centers in Pakistan with good results. With the advances in imaging facilities, operative techniques, availability of newer instruments, increasing experience better anaesthesia and post operative care, the mortality of PD has decreased to below 5%1, but the morbidity still remains high (up to 40%)

Table-1: Percentage of pancreatic fistula in both groups.

<u> </u>		
Fistula type	PJ (n =21)	PG (n=11)
Grade A	5 (23.8%)	1 (11.1%)
Grade B	5 (23.8%)	nil
Grade B	1 (4.7 %)	nil

Data was analyzed using SPSS version 17. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the results. Mean and standard deviation (SD) for quantitative variables with frequency along with percentages for qualitative variables. Chisquare test was applied to compare frequency of fistula between the two groups. A *p*- value, 0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

Thirty patients were included in the study, 21 patients received PJ and 9 underwent PG. In the PJ group all were males with a mean age 58.3 ± 7.49 years. In PG group 7 were males and 2 females with a mean age of 56.5 ± 8.15 years. Both groups were comparable with respect to age (p=0.561) and gender (p=0.120) pancreatic fistula(table 1) occurred in 11(52.4%) patients in PJ group. In 5 patients (23.8 %) it was grade A fistula and resolved spontaneously. Five patients (23.8) had grade B fistula and resolved after percutaneous drainage, while in one patients grade C fistula occured where laparatomy and drainage was carried out as it was large and septate collection. The patient lived for two years after this. In PG group one patient (11.1%) developed pancreatic fistula

even in the best centres^{2,3}. The major concern remains pancreatic leak after PD which often leads to prolonged hospitalization, reoperation, increased cost and mortality⁷.

The best reconstruction pancreaticogastrointestinal anastomosis remains a challenge for pancreatic surgeons. Pancreaticojejunal anastomosis, duct to mucosa and telescoping of pancreatic remnant in to jejunum are most widely used methods of reconstruction after pancreaticoduodenectomy. Several technique modifications such as placement of the stents, reinforcement of anastomosis with fibrin glue, application of carbolic acid, pancreatic duct occlusion9 and sandostatin are used in order to decrease pancreatic fistula rate but none of modifications could eliminate the fistula formation. The PG is usually performed in patients with smaller duct size and soft pancreas and has shown better results in term of fistula formation in several retrospective studies and randomized control trial.7,10,11_17

On the other hand three RCTs and a meta analysis did not show any difference in terms of fistula formation¹⁸⁻²⁰. The successful

management of pancreatic anastomosis may depend more on meticulous surgical technique and surgical volume rather than the type of technique²¹. We also feel that these two factors are more important as our results are getting better with increasing experience.

The present study although small has also shown that PG is better than PJ in terms of fistula rate and these results are in line with many recently published series. Softness of pancreas and duct diameter are considered important in determining the fistula formation²².

In our study those cases in which the duct was either not visible or it was less than 3 mm in diameter the PG was used independent of softness of pancreas. Number of other factors like age, sex, degree of pre op jaundice, operation time, blood loss, type of anastomosis, stenting and other comorbids, can contribute to fistula formation²². As this was a small study the exact effects of these factors could not be studied. This study and many other studies show better results with PG in terms of fistula formation.

CONCLUSION

In patients undergoing PD for pancreatic head, periampullary or duodenal tumours, PG is more effective than PJ in reducing the frequency of post operative pancreatic fistula

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors of this study reported no conflict of interest.

REFERRENCES

- SV Shirkhande, SS Qureshi, n Rajneesh, PJ Shukla. Pancreatic anastomosis after pancreaticoduodenectomy; do we need further studies? World Journal of studies, Vol 29, no 12:2005:1642-1649.
- Kawai M, Yamauae H. Analysis of clinical trials evaluating complications after pancreaticoduodenectomy: a new era of pancreatic surgery. Surgery today 2010; 40: 1011-1017
- JM Winter, JL Cameron, KA Campbel. "1423 pancreaticoduodenectomies for pancreatic cancer, a single instituition experience". Journal of gastrointestinal surgery 2006; 10 (9): 1199-1211.
- WB Pratt, SK miethael, T Vanounou, Z S,HHuange, MP callery, CM Volmer. Clinical and economical validation of the international study group of pancreatic fistula(ISGPF) classification scheme, Annals of

- surgery 2007; 249(3): 443-451
- K Okamotol koyamay toshmitsu. Duct to mucosa pancreatojejunostomy for small main pancreatic duct by the parachute technique after pancreaticoduodenectomy. Hepatogastroenterology 2007: 58 98): 1025- 28.
- Kennedy EP, Brumbaugh E, Yeo C. RECONSTruction following pyelorus preserving Whipple resection:PJ, HJ, DJ, J Gastrointest surg 2010; 14: 408-15.
- Oussoultzoglou E,Bachellier P, Bigourden JM, Weber JC, Nakano H, Jaeck D. pancreatogastrostomy decreased re laprotomycaused by pancreatic fistulaafter pancreatoduodenectomy compared with pancreatojejonostomy Arch Surg 2004; 139: 327-335.
- RTP Poon, ST Fan, CM Lo. External drainage of pancreatic duct with a stent toreduce leakage rate of pancreatojejonostomy after pancreatoduodenectomy. A prospective randomized trial. Annals of surgery 2007; 246(3): 425-433.
- Carrona R, cardi M, sammartino P, mangtoni SJ, Pittau G, scozzafava S, et al. functional results of personal technique of reconstruction after pancreaticoduodenectomy. J Exp Clin cancer Res 2003; 22(4) 187-189.
- Shukla PJ, Qureshi SS, Shirikhande SV, Jagannad P, Desouza IJ. Reoperative pancreaticoduodenectomy for periampullary carcinoma. ANZ J surg2005(75) 520-523.
- Fernandez Cruze L,Cosa R, blanco I, Lopez-boado MA, AustodelloE. Pancreaticogastrostomy after gastric partion after pyeloric preserving pancreaticoduodenectomy versus conventional pancreaticojejunostomy. A prospective randomized study. Ann surg 2008: 248: 930-38.
- Fabre JM, Arnaud JP, Naverro F. Result of pancreatojejonostomy after pancreatoduodenectomy in 160 cosective patients. Br J surg 1998; 85: 751-754
- Hyodo M, Nagai h. pancreatogastrostomy after pancreatoduodenectomy with or without duct to mucosa anastomosisfor small pancreatic duct; short and long term results.Hepatogastroenterology. 220; 471138-1141.
- Kim SW, youk EG, park YH. Comparison of pancreatojejonostomy and pancreatogastrostomy after pancreatoduodenectomy performed by one surgeon. World J Surg 1997; 21; 640-43.
- Takano S, Ito Y, Watanabe Y. pancreatogastrostomy versus pancreatojejonostomy in reconstruction following pancreatoduodenectomy. Br J surg 2000; 87: 423-27.
- Oussoultzoglo e, Bachillier P,bogourdan JM. pancreatogastrostomy decreased relaparotomycaused by pancreatic fistula after pancreatoduodenectomy compared with pancreatojejonostomy. Arch surg. 2004,; 139; 327-335.
- 17. Topal B, Fieuws S, Aerts R, Weerts j, feryn T, Roeyen G et al.Begian section of hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgery. pancreatojejonostomy versus pancreatogastrostomy reconstruction after pancreatoduodenectomy for pancreatic and periampullary tumours, a multivariate randomized trial. Lancet oncol 2013; 14:655-662.
- Bassi C, Falconi M, Molinari E, salvia rr, Butturini G, sartori n, et al. Reconstruction by pancreaticojejynostomy versus pancreatico jejunostomy following pancreatectomy; result of comparative study. Aann Surg 2005;242; 767-771.
- Dufa Jp, Scu B, Masika S, fourtainer g, Muscari f, Hay JM, et al. A control randomized multicentre trial of pancreaticogastrostomy or pancreatico jejunostomy after pancreaticoduodenetomy. Systemic review and meta analysis. Am j surg 2005; 189; 720-729
- Wente Mn, Shirkhande SV, Muller MW, Diener MK, Sieler CM, Fries h
 et al. pancreaticogastrostomy versus pancreatico jejunostomy:
 systemic review and metaanalysis Am J S urg 2007; 193:171-183
- SH Yang, K F Dou, N Sharma, WJ song. The method of reconstruction of pancreatic digestive continuity after pancreatoduodenectomy, a meta analysis of randomized control trial. World journal of surgery 2011; 35(10): 2290-97.
- 22. Pessaux P, Sauvenet A, Mariette C, Paye F, Muscari F, Cunha As et al. External pancreatic duct decreases pancreatic fistula rate after pancreaticodudenectomy: prospective multicentric randomizesd trial AM surg 2011; 253: 870-885.