# RISK SCORING AND OUTCOME IN PREGNANT CARDIAC PATIENTS IN APERIPHERAL TERTIARY CARE CENTRE

#### Asma Ansari, Umbreen Akram, Samina Khattak, Faiza Rabbani, Hafsa Khalil

Armed Forces Institute of Cardiology/National Institute of Heart Diseases (AFIC/NIHD)/ National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Rawalpindi Pakistan

#### ABSTRACT

*Objectives:* To determine types of cardiac lesions in pregnant patients according to World Health Organization (WHO) cardiac risk score and to observe frequency offetomaternal complications.

Study Design: Prospective longitudinal study.

*Place and Duration of Study:* This study was conducted at obstetrics and gynecology unit of Combined Military Hospital Kharian from Dec 2017 Dec 2018.

*Methodology:* All consecutive diagnosed pregnant cardiac patients and also recently diagnosed patients were enrolled. Estimation of maternal risk associated with pregnancy was done by classifying the patients according to the modified World Health Organization scoring system. Primary outcome was frequency of patients identified by risk scoring systems and types of lesions. Patients were followed up for the duration of pregnancy and purperieum for fetomaternal complications. Frequency of maternal morbidity and mortality due to cardiac complications was calculated.

*Results:* A total of 52 patients were enrolled. Mean age was  $28.9 \pm 4.9$  years. Cardiac events complicated (38.8%) of pregnancies and there were 11(6.4%) obstetric and (37%) neonatal complications. The aetiology of maternal cardiac lesions was acquired, 30 (57.6%), congenital 12 (23.0%) and rhythm disorders in 10 (19.2%) Cardiac maternal deaths were 03 (2.4%) and there were no obstetric deaths. Prediction of cardiac complications by the scoring systems was significant as in WHO I risk was 12% rising to 100% in WHO IV.

*Conclusion:* The modified WHO risks score is well adjusted to predict cardiac complications. Triage of the patients according to this standardized score in peripheral hospitals will lead to timely referral of patients who score high and likewise giving confidence to deliver low risk cardiac patients at mid-level hospitals.

Keywords: Cardiac disease, Cardiac risk scoring, Pregnancy.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Heart disease prevalence during pregnancy is estimated to be 1-3%<sup>1</sup>. In western world during pregnancy maternal death due to cardiovascular disease is very common. Developing countries are still struggling with rheumatic fever and acquired valvular cardiac disease whereas congenital heart disease is the main diagnostic group in developed countries. Recently, during pregnancy prevalence of acute coronary disease has increased because of hypertension, maternal age, smoking, and obesity<sup>2</sup>. The main causes of direct maternal deaths in developing countries including Pakistan are hemorrhage, hypertension, sepsis and miscarriage related complications. But of the indirect causes cardiac disease is the main contributor globally<sup>3</sup>. Pregnancy poses a major hemodynamic burden so risk of developing complications is increased as compared to normal population. These patients represent a unique group with risk for adverse outcome during pregnancy like heart failure, aortic dissection, arrhythmias, and thrombo-embolic events4. Women had history of cardiacdisease in order to reduce these risks full pre-pregnancy or early pregnancy riskassessment and counseling is important. Interventions need to be done before pregnancy if required, and a proper plan shouldbe apt, which might include prevention of pregnancy for high risk lesions. In addition to management for the duration of antenatal phaseinstance and type of delivery can be

**Correspondence: Dr Asma Ansari,** OBGYN Dept, Combined Military Hospital Khairan Pakistan *Email: asmaansari31@gmail.com* 

individualized according to risks and decided upon jointly by obstetrician, cardiologist and obstetric anesthesiologist<sup>5</sup>. In pregnant woman the process of diagnosing cardiac disease is challenging as many signs and symptoms of normal pregnancy mimic cardiac disease and can be missed considering them normal phenomenon. History and examination along with echocardiography is safe for mother and fetus in diagnosing and severity assessment<sup>6</sup>.

Many cardiac risk scoring systems have been established for pregnant patients to predict adverse outcome andrisk score's calculation ought tobe acomponent of pre pregnancy risk estimation. Few English experts proposed aplan that incorporates all accessibleinformation and data of pregnant females. The World Health Organization (WHO) assessthe association of cardiovascular problems with maternalrisk of pregnancy<sup>7</sup>. It is advocated in the new European Society of Cardiology (WHO) strategy for organizing cardiovascular problems throughout pregnancy is the preferred risk assessment system of preference8. According to WHO classification, four groups are made for pregnancies i.e. WHO Classes I, II, III and IV, which represent low to high risks of cardiac disease in pregnancy. According to these categorized classes patients of WHO class I & II were advised to visit once in trimester and less and for women belongs to WHO class III & IV were advised to visit on monthly or bimonthly basis.9 Not all cardiac patients require delivery in a dedicated cardiac care facility. If obstetricians carefully assess the patients for risk of adverse outcome, unnecessary referrals causing psychological and financial traumato patientscan be avoided. There is also unnecessary burden on the facility which is supposed to cater for high risk patients, creating logistic problems Diagnosis of cardiac disease evokes fear amongst care providers and patients both and due to lack of awareness either the patients are missed and precious lives are lost or are referred without a valid reason. If the patients are triaged according to a validated risk scoring system this can be addressed and majority of low

risk cardiac patients can be delivered in peripheral tertiary care centers without need for a dedicated tertiary care cardiac facility with comparable feto-maternal outcomes.

This study was done to observe types of lesions and classify patients according to risk score to decide their place and plan of management and to observe frequency of maternal complications in study cohort.

### METHODOLOGY

This was a prospective longitudinal study done in combined military hospital Kharian, Pakistan from December 2017 to December 2018. Approval for conducting the study was taken from institutional review board and 52 patients were recruited in this study by purposive non probability consecutive sampling technique. Allconsecutive cardiac patients during pregnancy and peurpureim having structural and congenital lesions,rhythm disorders, cardiomyopathy and ischemic heart disease were included. The exclusion criteria included miscarriages and termination of pregnancy.

Written Informed consent for data collection was taken. Already diagnosed and Symptomatic patients were investigated by taking detailed history and examination. Age, parity, gestational age at delivery, mode of delivery, New York Heart Association (NYHA) class, cyanosis (oxygen saturation ≤90%), priorcardiac events, cardiac lesions and prior surgery/interventions were noted. investigations included 12-lead ECG and transthoracic echocardiography assessment of cardiac status. Assessment of maternal cardiac risk factors related with pregnancy has done by categorizing the patients in to recent adapted WHO (World Health Organization) scoring system. Primary outcome was frequency of patients identified by risk scoring systems and types of lesions. Patients in WHO group 1-3 were mostly managed in this hospital. High risk patients 3-4 were referred to dedicated tertiary care cardiac centre with obstetric facilities. Frequency of cardiac complications was noted. The definition of primary cardiac events is aspulmonary edema

predicted on chest radiograph or bycrackles heard over more than one-third of posterior lung fields, sustained symptomatic tachy or bradycardia required treatment, heart failure requiring treatment, endocarditis identified by standard criteria, thromboembolic phenomenon (stroke, pulmonary embolism, deep venous thrombosis, 2160 (2.4%) total births. Demographic profile showed mean age 28.3  $\pm$  4.3 years, mean parity was P2  $\pm$  1.2, gestation at delivery was 36  $\pm$  4.8. Booked patients were 34 (65.3%) and 18 (34.6%) were unbooked. Functional status of patients was determined and 8(15.3%) were in NYHA classification class 1, 30 (57.6%) class 2, 9 (17.3%)% class

WHO Score	No. of Patients	Cardiac complications	Maternal mortality
WHO1	8 (15.3%)	1 (12%)	0
WHO 2	10 (19.2%)	3 (30%)	0
WHO2-3	16 (%30.7)	3 (19%)	0
WHO3	12 (23.07%)	7 (58%)	0
WHO 4	6 (11.5%)	6 (100%)	3 (5.7%)
Total	52	20 (38%)	3 (5.7%)

Table-I: Cardiovascular WHO Risk score and complications.

valve thrombosis), acute coronary syndrome, require for urgent invasive cardiac procedures through pregnancy or within 6 weeks after cardiac arrest, delivery or cardiac death.

Secondary outcomes were obstetric fetomaternal complications. Neonatalevents were de3 and 5 (9.6%) class 4. Of the study patients 30 (57.6%) were diagnosed to have heart disease prior to pregnancy, however in the rest 22 (42.3%) diagnosis was made primarily during their present pregnancy.

The aetiology of maternal cardiac lesions



Figure: Cardiac complications and mortality in cardiovascular WHO risk score patients.

fined as premature birth ( $\leq$ 37 weeks gestation), fetal growth restriction (<10<sup>th</sup> percentile), fetal death (>26 weeks of gestation) or neonatal death (within 28 daysafter delivery). Data was collected and analyzed using SPSS version 23. Quantitative data was measured by Mean ± SD and Frequency & percentages.

### RESULTS

Total 52 patients were enrolled in our study, out of total 24,000 (0.21%) obstetric patients and

was acquired in 30 (57.6%), congenital 12 (23.0%) and rhythm disorders in 10 (19.2%) patients. Cardiac events complicated 20 (38.8%) of pregnancies. There were 04 (7.6%) obstetric and 19 (36.5%) neonatal complications. Out of all patients 24 (46%) delivered by spontaneous vaginal delivery, 8 (15.3%) instrumental delivery and 20 (38%) by cesarean section. Most cesarean sections were for obstetric indications 16 (80%) and cardiac disease was indication in 4 (20%) patients. The modified WHO risk score is better adjusted to predict cardiac complications which increased in frequency as the score increasedas shown in table-I. There were varieties of cardiac lesions amongst study population which were classified by WHO Risk scoring as shown in table-II. Cardiac complications were seen in 20 (38.4%) patients out of which majority patients developed congestive cardiac failure 07 (35%), arrhythmia 05 (25%), pulmonary edema 2 (10%), precarious condition and could not be referred or stabilized due to their critical condition.

## DISCUSSION

Internationally 10-15% of all maternal mortality is due to cardiovascular disease<sup>10</sup>. Depending on severity and type of disease, magnitude of the risk of cardiac problemsin pregnancy varies. However, in a developing country like ours,

Table-II: Frequency of types of cardiac lesions according to WHO Risk Scoring.

Types of lesions	N=52
WHO I	
Uncomplicated small or mildPulmonary stenosis	0
Patent ductusarteriosus	1
Mitral leaflet prolapse	1
Successfully Repaired ASD, VSD, PDA	2
Atrial/ventricular ectopic beats	4
WHO II (otherwise well and uncomplicated)	
Unoperated ASD,VSD	1
Repaired tetrology of Fallot	1
Most arrythmias	8
WHO II-III(depending on individual)	
Mild left ventricular impairement	1
Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	0
Native or tissue valvular heart disease not considered WHO I or IV	15
Marfans syndrome without aortic dilation (Aorta <45mm in aortic	
disease associated with bicuspid aortic valve)	0
Repaired coarctation	0
WHO III	
Mechanical valves	10
Systemic right ventricle	10
Fontan's circulation	0
Cyanotic heart disease-unrepaired	1
Complex congenital heart disease	1 0
Marfans syndrome with aortic dilatation 4-45mm, Aortic dilatation	0
45-50 mm in aortic disease with bicuspid valve	0
WHO IV (pregnancy contraindicated)	
Pulmonary arterial hypertension	1
Severe ventricular dysfunction	3
Previous peripartum cardiomyopathy	0
Severe Mitral Stenosis/Aortic stenosis	2
Marfans syndrome>	0
Severe coarctation	0

thromboembolism 02 (10%), cardiac interventions during pregnancy like cardioversion, PTMC and cardiac surgery 01 (5%) was noted in a few. There were three (5.7%) maternal mortalities due to cardiac failure and all the mortalities were in WHO IV patients who came to the hospital in many cases are missed owing to lack of awareness. Also majority population lives in rural areas where health care facilities are either inappropriate or inadequate. This poses an additional risk for such high-risk patients in whom either the diagnosis is not made accurately or diagnosis is made at such a level where the clinical condition of the patient has already deteriorated owing to the severity and type of cardiac lesion. The maternal and perinatal outcome in such highrisk patients can be enhanced by adequate risk assessment and counseling. In this study, we have analyzed the main risk factors of cardiac lesion in pregnant women with cardiac disease so as to justify the use of an established risk assessment system. Pregnancy in patients having cardiac issues cause 100 times higher rate of maternal mortality as compared to normal pregnancy<sup>11</sup>. Mean age of our study population was  $28 \pm 4.9$  years which was similar to other studies<sup>12,13</sup>. Cardiac lesions were predominantly 57.6% valvular, followed by congenital 23% and 19% rhythm disorders. These results are similar to other studies done here in Pakistan 14 but congenital lesions were predominant in studies done in western worldwith frequency of 74% and 66% congenital lesions followed by 22% and 28% acquired lesions<sup>15,16</sup>. Frequency of cardiac complications was 38%, obstetric 7.6% and 36.5% neonatal complications which werehigher than a study done by sameul et al which reported complications as 17% cardiac, 20% neonatal and 2% obstetric<sup>17</sup>. Adverse cardiac and neonatal outcome are more common in these patients as was demonstrated by a study which had normal pregnant patients as control. In this study 17% had cardiac complications and 18% neonatal complications compared to 0% amongst controls18. Cesarean section was mode of delivery in 38% patients, 11.5% for cardiac indication whereas another study reported 27% cesarean section and 4% only for cardiac indication<sup>19</sup>. In ROPAC study cesarean section rate was 41%. Maternal mortality was 1% and highest was in patients with cardiomyopathy unlike in this study in which leading cause was acquired valvular lesions<sup>20</sup>. Nature of cardiac, obstetric and perinatal complications was similar. Commonest cardiac complications were cardiac failure and arrhythmias. Rate of cardiovascular disease in our setup was high as compared to other studies<sup>21</sup>. However, it was lower than the Indian study<sup>22</sup>. This difference may be

due to the clinical characteristics of patient, heart disease severity, maternal heart problem, prepregnancy operation, medical treatment followed, socio-economic class and the type of heart disease. European Society for Cardiology guide lines and some new studies demonstrate WHO score as the more valid score in compa-rison to Carpreg and Zahara which have limitations like both fail to identify pulmonary hypertension<sup>23,24</sup>. Even in our population which constitutes predominantly of rheumatic heart disease patients in contrast to majority congenital lesions in western studies it fared well. In this study, approx 34% of patientsbelongs to WHO class-III & IV. The percentage of cardiovascular problemsin WHO class-III & IV was considerably in upper limit, while they were 12% in class I and 100% in class IV. According to alatest studyof Italy, which demonstrates higher complication in higher WHO classes, i.e. 5% in class I & II and then 31% in WHO class-III & IV25. As shown in many pre-vious studies, heart failure is considered to be the most frequent complication of cardiac lesions<sup>26</sup>. In WHO classes I to IV, the cardiac disease frequencies and the NYHA classes' deterioration was increased progressively.

Limitation of this study is that it is a single centre study hence cannot be generalized to population as predictors and risk scores are highly population dependent.

Major contribution of our study is gaining confidence in using WHO modified score in our population and comparable results to international studies. This validated triage system can be used with confidence in deciding further management plan and referral when required.

### CONCLUSION

According to our findings, majority of pregnant patients having cardiac lesions faced no or few complications. Proper timely counseling sessions and risk estimation is necessary to safe the pregnancy of cardiac patients and it increases the chances of safe pregnancy. Pre-pregnancy assessment include, complete laboratory profile, physical examination, and ECHO (echocardiography) is necessary, which helpsin classifying high risk cardiac patients and to set proper mechanism to reduce the risk of complications. In all our hospitals as a health care initiative, it is very important to implement or initiate the proper strategy to measure cardiovascular risk assessment of pregnant patients in accordance to latest WHO guidelines. Early referral of high risk pregnant patientshavingcardiacissue need to be assessedtimely and treat at a tertiary cardiac care center by a trained team is a significant factor in a chieving healthy pregnancy outcomes and it will help in reducing maternal morbidity and mortality.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

This study has no conflict of interest to be declared by any author.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Nickens M, Long R, Geraci S. Cardiovascular disease in pregnancy. South Med J 2013; 106(11): 624-30.
- 2. Michael Nanna, MD; Kathleen Stergiopoulos, Pregnancy Compli-cated by Valvular Heart Disease. J Am Heart Assoc 2014; 3: e000712.
- 3. World Health Organization. Trends in Maternal Mortality: 1990 to 2008. Estimates.Developed by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA and The World Bank. Geneva: WHO; 2010
- Cornette J, Ruys TP, Rossi A, Rizopoulos D, Takkenberg JJ, Karamermer Y, et al. Hemodynamic adaptation to pregnancy in women with structural heart disease. Int J Cardiol 2012; 168: 825-31.
- Guideline No.13: Cardiac disease and pregnancy:RCOG.2011. Available from: http://www.rcog.org.uk/ files/rcogcorp/ Good Practice13CardiacDiseaseandPregnany.
- 6. Ruys TP, Cornette J, Roos-Hesselink JW. Pregnancy and delivery in cardiac disease. J Cardiol 2013; 61: 107-12.
- 7. Chumpathong S, Sirithaweesit C, Pechpaisit N, Suraseranivongse S, von Bormann B, Titapant V et al. Predictors for complications in pregnant women with heart disease, a retrospective study. J Med Assoc Thai 2014; 97(7): 730-5.
- Regitz-Zagrosek V, BlomstromLundqvist C, Borghi C, Cifkova R, Ferreira R, Foidart JM, et al. ESC Guidelines on the management of cardiovascular diseases during pregnancy: European Society of Cardiology (ESC) The Task Force on the Management of Cardio-vascular Diseases during Pregnancy Eur Heart J 2011; 32(24): 3147-97.
- Fu Q, Lin J. Predictive accuracy of three clinical risk assessment systems for cardiac complications among Chinese pregnant women with congenital heart disease. Int J Gynecol Obstet 2016; 134(2): 140-4.
- 10. PG Pieper. Pre-pregnancy risk assessment and counseling of the cardiac patient. Neth Heart J 2011; 19: 477-81.

- 11. Jastrow N, Meyer P, Khairy P, Mercier LA, Dore A, Marcotte F, Leduc L. Prediction of complications in pregnant women with cardiac diseases referred to a tertiary center. Int J Cardiol 2011; 151: 209-13.
- Goya M, Casellas M, Merced C, Pijuan-Domenech A, Galián L, Dos L. Predictors of obstetric complications in women with heart disease. Maternal Fetal Neonatal Med 2016; 29(14): 2306-11.
- Lu CW, Shih JC, Chen SY, Chiu HH, Wang JK, Chen CA. Comparison of 3 risk estimation methods for predicting cardiac outcomes in pregnant women with congenital heart disease. Circ J 2015; 79(7): 1609-17.
- Yasmeen N, Aleem M, Iqbal N. Fetomaternal out come in patients with cardiac disease in pregnancy. Pak J Med Health Sci 2011; 5(4): 748-51.
- 15. Khairy P, Ouyang DW, Fernandes SM, Lee-Parritz A, Economy KE, LandzbergMJ. Pregnancy outcomes in women with congenital heart disease. Circulation 2006; 113: 517-24.
- 16. Willem Drenthen, Eric Boersma, Ali Balci, Philip Moons, Jolien W. Roos-Hesselink, et al. Pieper On behalf of the ZAHARA Investigators Predictors of pregnancy complications in women with congenital heart disease. Eur Heart J 2010; 31: 2124-32.
- 17. sameul et al. Adverse Neonatal and Cardiac outcome. Cirulation 2002; 105: 2179-84
- Gelson E, Curry R, Gatzoulis MA, Swan L, Lupton M, Steer P, Johnson M. Effect of maternal heart disease on fetal growth. Obstet Gynecol 2011; 117: 886-91.
- Yap SC, Drenthen W, Pieper PG, Moons P, Mulder BJ, Vliegen HW, et al. ZAHARA investigators Pregnancy outcome in women with repaired versus unrepaired isolated ventricular septal defect. BJOG 2010; 117(6): 683-89.
- 20. Jolien W. Roos-Hesselink, Titia PE Ruys, Jorg I. Stein, Ulf Thile'n, Gary D. Webb, et al Outcome of pregnancy in patients with structural or ischaemic heart disease: Results of a registry of the European Society of Cardiology., on behalf of the ROPAC Investigators. Eur Heart J 2013; 34: 657-65.
- Roos-Hesselink JW, Ruys TP, Stein JI, Thilen U, Webb GD, Niwa K, et al. Outcome of pregnancy in patients with structural or ischaemic heart disease: results of a registry of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J 2013; 34: 657-65.
- 22. Chauhan AR, Saxena N. Risk assessment and prediction of complications in pregnant women with heart disease. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2017; 6: 4334-8.
- 23. Drenthen W, Pieper PG, Roos-Hesselink JW, van Lottum WA, Voors AA, Mulder BJ, et al. Outcome of pregnancy in women with congenitalheart disease: a literature review. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007; 49: 2303-11.
- 24. Balci A, Sollie-Szarynska KM, van der Bijl AGL et al. Prospective validation and assessment of cardiovascular and offspring risk models for pregnant women with congenital heart disease. Heart 2014; 100: 1373-81.
- Pijuan-Domènech A, Galian L, Goya M, Casellas M, Merced C, Ferreira-Gonzalez I. Cardiac complications during pregnancy are better predicted with the modified WHO risk score. Int J Cardiol 2015; 149-54.
- Cauldwell M, Ghonim S, Uebing A, Swan L, Steer PJ, Gatzoulis M. Preconception counseling, predicting risk and outcomes in women with WHO 3 and 4 heart disease. Int J Cardiol 2017; 234: 76-80.