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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the result of the combination of hyperbaric bupivacaine plus fentanyl with hyperbaric bupivacaine alone in patients undergoing caesarean section in spinal anaesthesia.

Study Design: Comparative, cross-sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Anesthesia, Fauji Foundation Hospital, Rawalpindi Pakistan, from Dec 2017 to Jun 2018.

Methodology: After consulting the institutional ethical review committees a total of 60 females between ages 18-40 years were enrolled for caesarean section delivery. They were divided into two groups. The study group (n=30) received a subarachnoid injection of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine (10mg) 2ml with 25ug of fentanyl 0.5ml and control group (n=30) was injected 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine 12.5mg (2.5 ml) only. Pain experienced during the procedure was assessed by using 10-point visual analogue scoring method. The mean duration of analgesia, mean arterial blood pressure and heart rate after surgery were compared between two groups.

Results: The mean duration of analgesia was 206.5/min ± 6.4 in the study group and it was 163.6min ± 7.2 in the control group (p=0.001). Mean arterial BP after surgery was 92.3mmHg ± 3.8 in the study group and 88.7mmHg ± 4.1 in the control group (p=0.001). The mean heart rate recorded after surgery was 75.2/min ± 5.2 in the study group and it was 70.4/min ± 6.1 in the control group (p=0.001).

Conclusions: The mean duration of analgesia was significantly longer in the study group when compared with the control group with better mean arterial blood pressure and heart rate response after Caesarean section.
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INTRODUCTION

Most caesarean sections are done under regional anesthesia which gives analgesia to the lower part of the body. Spinal anesthesia is the most used method of the regional block in caesarean section, preferred for its rapid onset of action, effectiveness and protection against thromboembolic events.

In caesarean section it is important to provide quality anesthesia that provides excellent analgesia to the mother. Local anesthetics, when used in moderate doses, cause vasodilatation which drops systemic vascular resistance resulting in hypotension along with motor and sensory blockade. Maternal hypotension may have adverse effects on the fetus and is also associated with other symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, and dyspnea.

Bupivacaine, an amide-type of a local anesthetic drug, is highly potent having slow onset (5-8 minutes) of action but lasts for a longer time (1.5-2 hours). For Caesarean section, intrathecal dose of hyperbaric bupivacaine is 12-15 mg and its hyperbaric form is made by adding 8.25% glucose in it.

Since the discovery of opioid receptors in spinal cord, an alternate therapy of epidural and intrathecal administration of narcotics has been introduced. This technique helps to avoid producing sympathetic blockade. Intrathecal short-acting lipophilic opioids given in combination with sub-therapeutic doses of local anesthetic, increase the level of analgesia because of synergistic effect.

The most commonly used opioid is fentanyl which has high lipid solubility and more affinity for an opiate receptor following intrathecal administration. Its onset of action takes around 5 minutes and the duration of analgesia is up to 30-40 minutes. Moreover, fentanyl provides effective analgesia and allows the intraoperative patient to experience no motor block, no sympatholytic effect and improves the anti-nociceptive effect of bupivacaine. It also prolongs postoperative analgesia with a lower occurrence of adverse drug reactions.

The rationale of this study was to compare the effects of these drugs in pregnant patients in our demographic settings. If found to be effective, combination of intrathecal fentanyl with conventional hyperbaric
bupivacaine would allow a reduced dose of bupivacaine, improve the quality of block, and prolong the duration of effective analgesia in patients undergoing Caesarean section. The objective of this study was to compare the results of intrathecal injection of combination of hyperbaric bupivacaine plus fentanyl with hyperbaric bupivacaine alone in patients undergoing caesarean section in spinal anesthesia.

**METHODOLOGY**

This comparative cross-sectional study was conducted at department of Anaesthesia, Fauji Foundation Hospital, Rawalpindi from December 2017 to June 2018. Sample size was calculated by using WHO sample size calculator\(^1\). After approval from institutional Ethics Review Committee, a total of 60 patients were included (30 patients in each group) with their informed consent. Non-probability, consecutive sampling technique was used. All patients with uncomplicated pregnancy undergoing Cesarean section, in age group 18-40 years, with ASA status I and II and gestational age of >37 weeks were included. Patients with history of drug allergy, previous failed spinal anesthesia or in whom spinal anesthesia was contra-indicated due to other causes, were excluded. Patients with spinal deformity, BMI >30, fetal distress, or eclampsia (with fits, platelet count <70000 and proteinuria >0.3 grams in a 24 hours urine specimen) were also excluded.

Patients were assigned to the two treatment groups by lottery method. Study group received 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine 10 mg (2.0 ml) with 25 mg of fentanyl (0.5 ml). Control group received 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine 12.5 mg (2.5 ml) only. Systemic examination of each patient was carried out along with airway assessment and Mallampati score. Relevant laboratory investigations were checked. The function of anesthesia machine, endotracheal tube with a laryngoscope, and suction machine were checked. Availability of emergency drugs was confirmed. Intravenous access was secured with 18G cannula.

The patients received intravenous pre-hydration with 15 ml/kg of ringer’s lactate solution. Baseline blood pressure, heart rate and oxygen saturation were noted. After these measures, the patients were placed in the sitting position and using aseptic precautions a skin wheal was raised at the chosen inter-space with 2% lignocaine using a 25 gauge needle. A lumbar puncture was then performed with a 25G pencil-point needle at the chosen space (preferably L3-L4). Access to subarachnoid space was confirmed by the free flow of CSF. The drug selected for each group was injected over 20 seconds. Oxygen was supplemented by Hudson mask.

Heart rate and blood pressure were recorded after every 5 minutes, 15 minutes, 30 minutes, 45 minutes, 60 minutes and then hourly till 6 hours. In case of hypotension observed before the procedure (MAP 20% or more reduction from baseline), fast infusion of IV fluids was done along with phenylephrine in incremental doses (5mcg/kg). Bradycardia (heart rate <60/min) was treated with atropine injection 0.4-0.6mg.

Perioperative pain was assessed by using a 10-point visual analogue scoring method (0-10cm where 0=no pain and 10=worst pain ever felt). Systemic analgesic was not given until VAS was less than 4. Intravenous tramadol (50mg) was given in case of pain. Duration between the time spinal block was administered to the time patient electively demanded pain relief was noted. This was considered as the duration of effective analgesia. All patients were observed for 24 hours and readings of arterial blood pressure, heart rate and duration of analgesia were obtained. Data was recorded on a proforma by two persons for every patient.

Data was analyzed by IBM SPSS version 20. Mean and standard deviation was computed for quantitative variables. Frequency of percentage was calculated for qualitative variables. Independent samples t-test was used to compare the mean duration of postoperative analgesia, heart rate and mean arterial pressure between groups.

**RESULTS**

A total of 60 females between age 18-40 years who were planned for cesarean delivery were enrolled. The mean duration of analgesia, mean arterial blood pressure and heart rate after surgery was calculated and compared in both the groups. Mean age, height, weight and BMI of the study population were tabulated (Table-I).

The mean duration of analgesia was 206.5 min ± 6.4 in the study group and it was 163.6 min ± 7.2 in control group. The mean duration of analgesia was significantly longer in the study group when compared with the control group (\(p=0.001\), table-II). Mean arterial BP after surgery was 92.3 mmHg ± 3.8 in a study group and it was 88.7 mmHg ± 4.1 in the control group \(p=0.001\) (table-III). The mean heart rate after surgery was 75.2/min ± 5.2 in the study group and it was 70.4 min ± 6.1 in the control group \(p=0.001\) (table-IV).
The purpose of this study was to compare perioperative hemodynamic stability and postoperative analgesia using these two methods. Their findings are in concordance with our study as they reported a longer duration of sensory block and analgesia with combination of the two drugs as compared to Bupivacaine alone.

Hyperbaric bupivacaine has been used with several adjuvant agents to prolong the duration of spinal analgesia including combination with dexmedetomidine and ketamine. A study conducted in China in 2015 by Sun et al., compared the effects of bupivacaine alone, bupivacaine plus fentanyl, and bupivacaine plus dexmedetomidine for postoperative pain management in patients planned for Cesarean section under intrathecal block. They did a trial on 90 patients who were randomly divided into 3 groups. Our study compares favorably with their results in that they reported sensory block to be significantly longer in bupivacaine plus fentanyl and bupivacaine plus dexmedetomidine as compared to bupivacaine alone. The occurrence of postoperative pain was also delayed in the groups having two drugs compared to Bupivacaine alone. A four group comparative study conducted on 84 patients in China (2015) by Li et al., compared the effects of bupivacaine alone, bupivacaine plus fentanyl, bupivacaine with clonidine and bupivacaine plus dexmedetomidine on quality of spinal blockade for Cesarean section. The results of this study are similar to Sun et al., and compare favorably with our results.

Hyperbaric bupivacaine alone was compared with combination of this drug with subarachnoid ketamine and subarachnoid fentanyl respectively in a study. In a study on 100 healthy females undergoing Cesarean section surgery by Bhattarai et al., at Kathmandu. Effectiveness and duration of analgesia was reported to be better with hyperbaric bupivacaine.
with fentanyl. Their results were similar to those of our study although the dose of bupivacaine in their study was 10 mg for both groups.

More studies are needed to compare the effects of lesser doses of bupivacaine in combination with fentanyl, to determine the minimum dose required to achieve effective analgesia without associated symptoms like nausea.

CONCLUSION

Hyperbaric bupivacaine combined with fentanyl provides longer analgesia and is safer in terms of hemodynamics, when used for spinal anesthesia, than hyperbaric bupivacaine alone.
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