ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the diagnostic efficacy of haematological indices for the diagnosis of beta thalassemia trait taking haemoglobin electrophoresis as a gold standard.

Study Design: Cross sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Haematology Combined Military Hospital Lahore, from Aug to Dec 2019.

Methodology: Two hundred and six anaemic patients 20-70 years of age of both genders were included in the study. Blood was collected from all the patients and complete blood count were generated through automated haematology analyser Sysmex KX-21. Based on the complete blood count parameters like mean cell volume, red blood cell count, red cell distribution width and mean cell haemoglobin the haematological indices such as Mentzer, Ricerca, mean density of Hb/litre of blood, mean cell Hb density and red cell distribution width indices were calculated. The haemoglobin A2 band of >3.5% on haemoglobin electrophoresis was taken as cut off for Beta thalassemia trait.

Results: Out of the 206 blood samples analysed, 120 (58%) were labelled as Beta thalassemia trait after Hb electrophoresis and 86 cases had anaemia due to other causes. Mentzer index established the highest sensitivity of 92.5% whereas RICERCA index established the highest specificity of 94.1%. Mentzer and red cell distribution width index had the highest diagnostic efficacy value up to 90.3%.

Conclusion: We conclude that Mentzer and red cell distribution width index showed the highest diagnostic significance. The Mentzer index has the highest sensitivity whereas Ricerca index has the highest specificity. The red blood cell count, haemoglobin and mean cell volume are used to measure the Mentzer index and red cell distribution width index that showed consistent ability to provide definitive diagnosis regarding-thalassemia trait.
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INTRODUCTION

Two of the most common causes of microcytic hypochromic anemia worldwide are iron deficiency anemia (IDA) and beta thalassemia trait (βTT) with similar red blood cell indices and morphological findings. It is important to differentiate between the two disorders so that unnecessary iron therapy can be avoided in βTT. Thalassemia is an inherited haemoglobinopathy with impaired globin chain synthesis leading to ineffective haematoepoiesis, 5% of the world’s population have haemoglobin production defects with 7% being carriers. Thalassemia is a global health problem with Middle East, Southeast Asia and Mediterranean each contributing 10% 9% & 8% respectively. Annually 5000 homozygous thalassemia patients are born in Pakistan and 5% have the heterozygous beta thalassemia gene making it a common inherited haemoglobin disorder in the country.

Estimation of complete blood counts is an initial investigation for diagnosis of haematological disorders. The difference between IDA and βTT can be made on basis of complete blood count parameters, serum ferritin level and HbA2 concentration. The red blood cell indices determined by the automated blood analyzers give us a first indication of βTT which are rapid and cost effective. These include red blood count, mean cell volume, mean cell haemoglobin, haemoglobin level and red cell distribution width. Gold standard for diagnosis of βTT includes different electrophoretic techniques including cellulose acetate/agar gel electrophoresis and high performance liquid chromatography. These are very precise but time consuming and expensive, not readily available to poor communities.

It is important to discriminate IDA and βTT with regard to their different management. In Pakistan due to financial constraints and high prevalence of thalassemia, mathematical indices provide a simpler solution for differential diagnosis. These discriminating formulae are calculated from the various red blood cell indices with different cut off values, sensitivity and
specificity. These can help in planning further workup and unnecessary tests can be avoided. Ideal index is the one with high sensitivity and high specificity\(^9\). Various studies have shown that these indices help in making probable diagnosis of BTT. These include Mentzer index, mean cell Hb density, mean density of Hb/litre of blood, Red cell distribution width index, Ricerca, Shine and Lal and England and Fraser index\(^10\).

The present study was done to assess the diagnostic efficacy of five indices that included: Mentzer index, Ricerca, mean density of Hb/Litre of blood, mean cell Hb density and red cell distribution width index.

**METHODODOLOGY**

It was a cross-sectional study carried out at the department of haematology of Combined Military Hospital Lahore from August 2019 to December 2019. The sample size was calculated using diagnostic accuracy sample size calculator by taking statistics for sensitivity of Mentzer as 82.3%, specificity as 98.7% (assumed as 95%)\(^13\), prevalence of βTT as 50%\(^16\), margin of error for sensitivity as 7.3% and specificity as 4.3% at 95% confidence interval. The calculated sample size was 206. All the anaemic patients (having haemoglobin level <10 g/dl on CBC) of age 20-70 years of either gender were included in the study using non-probability consecutive sampling technique. Patients who were critically ill and who had recent blood transfusion in the last 4 weeks were excluded from the study.

The ethics review committee (ref no. 135/2019) approval was sought before the conduct of study. Informed written consent was taken from all the patients or their guardians before data collection. The baseline information such as age, gender and address of the all eligible patients was recorded along with relevant history. Five (5) mL of blood was collected from all the patients into Di potassium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (K2. EDTA) tube for haematological examination and processed on Sysmex-KX-21 for complete blood count. Haemoglobin electrophoresis was performed for haemoglobin A2 estimation. HbA2 >3.5% was taken as a cut off for βTT diagnosis. Based on the complete blood count parameters like mean cell volume (MCV fL), red blood cell count (RBC), red cell distribution width (RDW) and mean cell haemoglobin (MCH) the haematological indices were calculated and cut-off values 13 of each indices for βTT (table-I).

SPSS-23 was used to analyse data. Mean and SD were calculated for numerical variables whereas frequency and percentages were calculated for categorical variables. Sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value, positive predictive value and diagnostic accuracy were computed for all the haematological indices by taking HbA2>3.5% as a cut off value for diagnosis of Beta thalassemia trait.

**RESULTS**

Age range of the patients was 20-70 years (Mean 25.26 ± 13.26 years). Majority of the patients were males (66%) as compared to females (34%). Out of the 206 blood samples analysed, 120 (58.3%) were confirmed to have βTT after Hb electrophoresis and 86 cases showed no band of HbA2 on electrophoresis (fig-1).

On mentzer 122 patients were diagnosed to have βTT (59.2%), on Ricerca 105 patients were diagnosed to have βTT (51%), on MDHL 99 patients were diagnosed to have βTT (48.1%), on MCHD 123 patients were diagnosed to have βTT (57.3%) and on RDWI 118 patients were diagnosed to have βTT (57.3%) respectively (fig-2).

![Figure-1: Frequency of beta thalassemia trait after haemoglobin electrophoresis.](image)

![Figure-2: Findings of haematological indices for Beta thalassemia trait.](image)
DISCUSSION

Annually, 5000 cases are found in Pakistan that are beta thalassaemia positive. Reflecting these numbers, the present study found 58% cases of βTT after Haemoglobin electrophoresis. This emerges an important issue for diagnosing beta thalassemia with least cost and accurate diagnosis. Pakistan is a developing country and majority people belong to low socio economic status who cannot afford cost of Haemoglobin electrophoresis.

There are different haematological indices for diagnosing thalassemia. The Mentzer index is a ratio between MCV and RBC and having value of 13 is considered as thalassemia carriers\(^1\),\(^12\). The Ricerca index is ratio between red cell width and red blood cell count\(^1\). The MDHL index is the mean density of Hb/liter of blood and calculated as \([(MCH/MVC) \times RBC]\). The MCHD index is the mean cell Hb density and calculate as ratio between MCH and MCV\(^1\). The RDWI index is red blood distribution width and calculated as \[MCV \times RDW/RBC]\).\(^1\)

Beyan et al., determined that sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value of Red Cell Indices were 84.8%, 88.9%, 91.8% and 80% respectively\(^14\). Though HbA2 estimation through electrophoresis, ferritin estimation and serum iron levels are still diagnostic tests for differentiating between beta thalassemia trait and iron deficiency anaemia still red blood cell count is found to be most predictive indicator\(^15\). The haematological indices are efficiently used in distinguishing beta thalassemia that helps save cost for other investigation\(^5\). Therefore, the different haematological indices also help us to understand those patients who are willing to maintain their follow-ups.

### Table-I: Mean cell haemoglobin the haematological indices were calculated and cut-off values for βTT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Cut-off Value for Beta Thalassemia Trait Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mentzer</td>
<td>Mean cell volume/Red blood cell</td>
<td>&lt;13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricerca</td>
<td>Red cell distribution width/Red blood cell</td>
<td>&lt;4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean density of Hb/litre of blood</td>
<td>(Mean cell Hb/Mean cell volume) x Red blood cell</td>
<td>&gt;1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean cell Hb density</td>
<td>Mean cell Hb/Mean cell volume</td>
<td>&gt;0.3045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red cell distribution width index</td>
<td>Mean cell volume x Red cell distribution width/Red blood cell</td>
<td>&lt;220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-II: Diagnostic efficacy of haematological indices taking HBA2 >3.5% as gold standard in diagnosis of beta thalassemia trait.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hematological Indices</th>
<th>HbA2 &gt;3.5%</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mentzer &lt;13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>87.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>87.2%</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Positive Predictive Value</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA2 &gt;3.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative Predictive Value</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
<td>90.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICERCA &lt;4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Diagnostic Efficacy</td>
<td>90.2%</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>94.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>94.24%</td>
<td>95.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA2 &gt;3.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive Predictive Value</td>
<td>95.2%</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Density of Hb/Litre of Blood (&gt;1.63)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative Predictive Value</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
<td>87.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Diagnostic Efficacy</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
<td>78.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA2 &gt;3.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>78.8%</td>
<td>60.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Cell Hb Density &gt;0.3045</td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive Predictive Value</td>
<td>60.7%</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>Negative Predictive Value</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td>74.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Diagnostic Efficacy</td>
<td>74.2%</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA2 &gt;3.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td>72.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Cel Distribution Width Index &lt;220</td>
<td></td>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>72.4%</td>
<td>62.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>Negative Predictive Value</td>
<td>62.6%</td>
<td>68.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Diagnostic Efficacy</td>
<td>68.4%</td>
<td>90.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Predictive Value</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
<td>Positive Predictive Value</td>
<td>90.8%</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic Efficacy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
<td>92.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MCHD index is the mean cell Hb density and calculate as ratio between MCH and MCV\(^1\). The RDWI index is red blood distribution width and calculated as \([(MCV \times RDW/RBC)]\).\(^1\)

Beyan et al., determined that sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value of Red Cell Indices were 84.8%, 88.9%, 91.8% and 80% respectively\(^14\). Though HbA2 estimation through electrophoresis, ferritin estimation and serum iron levels are still diagnostic tests for differentiating between beta thalassemia trait and iron deficiency anaemia still red blood cell count is found to be most predictive indicator\(^15\). The haematological indices are efficiently used in distinguishing beta thalassemia that helps save cost for other investigation\(^5\). Therefore, the different haematological indices also help us to understand those patients who are willing to maintain their follow-ups.
There is paucity of data in this context in our region and prevalence of thalassemia in Pakistan needs us to determine best possible investigational tool in term of haematological indices. This study was planned with an aim of comparing diagnostic efficacy of different haematological indices in terms of Sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value, positive predictive value by taking HbA2>3.5% as cut off for diagnosis of βTT.

In the year 2016, a study conducted in Pakistan found 29% of cases of βTT positive17. In thalassemia, MCV equal to value 79 fl and MCH is 27pg18. Many investigations have been carried out to determine sensitivity and specificity of hematologic indices in comparison with iron deficiency anaemia and youdens index14,17,19-21. However, the current study has entirely focused on beta thalassemia cases. The diagnostic efficacy of different haematological indices has been found out taking haemoglobin electrophoresis as gold standard and no other investigation tool has been used to compare the efficacy. The present study results showed that Mentzer and RDWI indices had highest diagnostic efficacy of 90%. The ability of Mentzer index to diagnose actual cases is 92.5% and the ability to diagnose number of true negatives is 87.5% which explains that Mentzer index was helpful in diagnosing true positive cases rather true negatives. However, the results are in great disagreement with Siswandari et al. Study in which he concluded 36% sensitivity and 81% specificity of mentzer index22.

It is noteworthy that results showed contradiction to the previous study which proved mentzer index a very weak index to use for diagnosis of beta thalassemia patients22. However, another study conducted by Sharma et al, showed lesser sensitivity (60%) than specificity (93.10%) which is also in discrepancy with current findings12. The inferior sensitivity might be due to the less number of red blood cells and presented higher diagnostic value in removing the likelihood of beta thalassemia. Nevertheless, the results for Mentzer index are in concurrence with Batebi et al, study having higher sensitivity (94.5%) than specificity (93.7%)23.

Literature suggest that the most usually used tests Mentzer index (MI) followed by discriminant factor (DF) which is MCV × (RDW/Hb × 100) then Shine and Lal Index that is obtained by MCV × (MCH/100) then Srivastava Index (SI) calculated by MCH/RBC and lastly RDWI24. Ullah et al, found that the red cell distribution width index was the most reliable in distinguishing between iron deficiency anaemia and beta thalassemia17. The RDWI is referred to as best screening replacement for where haemoglobin electrophoresis is unobtainable17. A study reported RDWI as most consistent index3. Another study showed Shine and Lal as reliable index for BTT though in a study Shine & Lal index showed 100% sensitivity with specificity of 17 (39%). Hence, we recommend to conducted separate studies on each haematological index to ascertain more accurate results.

CONCLUSION

According to our results, the Mentzer and RDWI indices showed the highest diagnostic significance. The Mentzer index has the highest sensitivity whereas RICERCA index established has the highest specificity. The RBC, haemoglobin and MCV counts are used to measure the Mentzer index and RDW index that showed consistent ability to provide definitive diagnosis regarding β-TT. These indices can help us in screening patients of β-TT in health units where the facility of haemoglobin electrophoresis is not available. The methodology is not only useful and inexpensive, but also highly feasible for a mass community screening of population where thalassemia trait is highly prevalent.

RECOMMENDATION

Medical students, house officers and general practitioners should be urged to apply these indices in routine practice and suspect Beta thalassemia trait so further segregation of patients can be done for workup by electrophoresis and people can make informed decisions regarding consanguineous marriages.
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