EFFECTIVENESS OF ULTRASOUND-GUIDED MODIFIED PECTORAL NERVE BLOCK (PECS II) FOR POST-OPERATIVE PAIN RELIEF AFTER MODIFIED RADICAL MASTECTOMY (MRM)
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To find the effectiveness of ultrasound guided modified pectoral nerve block (PECS II) versus conventional analgesics for post-operative pain relief in women undergoing modified radical mastectomy.

Study Design: Quasi experimental study.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Anaesthesia, Pak Emirates Military Hospital Rawalpindi Pakistan, from May 2018 to Oct 2019.

Methodology: A total of 74 adult female patients scheduled for elective unilateral modified radical mastectomy under general anaesthesia were randomized into two groups, pectoral nerve block II (P) group (n=37) and control (C) group (n=37). An ultrasound-guided pectoral nerve block II block was performed using 30 ml of 0.25% Bupivacaine in pectoral nerve block II group after induction of general anaesthesia. In control group (C), patients received only general anaesthesia. Primary outcome measure was opioid consumption in first 24 hours, and the secondary outcome was pain at the breast and axillary region measured using the numerical rating scale (NRS) in first 24 hours at fixed intervals after surgery. Patient satisfaction was evaluated upon discharge using a 4-point scale.

Results: Nalbuphine consumption was significantly reduced in pectoral nerve block group as compared to control group. Patients in pectoral nerve block II group experienced much less pain because their numerical rating scale was lower than the control group in postoperative period. Patient satisfaction was found to be high in pectoral nerve block II group.

Conclusion: The pectoral nerve block II block is a simple block which provides excellent analgesia for modified radical mastectomy. It can be used for balanced anaesthesia, decrease intra-operative anaesthetic requirements and provide post-operative analgesia.
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INTRODUCTION

Mastectomy can lead to significant postoperative pain1. Modified radical mastectomy (MRM) is a painful procedure and patients usually demand heavy doses of analgesics to overcome this pain. In first 24 hours, they can require up to 48 mg of morphine which puts them at risk of developing opioid related side-effects2. Management of acute post-operative pain in these patients is also important because it can also lead to persistent pain after breast cancer surgery3,4. Thoracic epidural block has been found effective for management of breast surgery pain but it carries risk of untoward effects like nerve damage, intravascular injection leading to toxicity, total spinal anaesthesia and formation of epidural hematoma5,6. Para-vertebral block is an alternate technique for providing post-operative pain but most anaesthetists are not familiar with this technique. It is also not without risk of complications7. A recently introduced PECS II block is a simple and easy-to-learn technique. Moreover, it is found to be a safe and effective pain-relieving technique in patients undergoing MRM8,9. In pectoral block injection of local anaesthetic is injected between the two pectoralis muscles blocking medial and lateral pectoral nerves. In PECS II block local anaesthetic is additionally injected between serratus anterior and pectoralis minor muscles to block intercostal and intercosto-brachial nerves. Our study is aimed to compare postoperative usage of opioid and patient satisfaction score in PECS II block group with that of control group in the first 24 hours after MRM.

METHODOLOGY

This study was conducted at department of Anaesthesia, Pak Emirates Military Hospital Rawalpindi, from May 2018 to October 2019. Study was approved by hospital ethics committee (ref no. A/28/EC/87) and we took written informed consent from patients. A total of 74 women who were scheduled for unilateral modified radical mastectomy (MRM) were selected as study subjects. Inclusion criteria was patients with ASA I and II physical status and age between 20-70 years. Exclusion criteria was patients having history of...
allergy to local anaesthetics, pregnancy, anticoagulant use, BMI ≥35/kg² or significant neurological or psychiatric disorder. Using a computer-generated randomization, we divided patients into two groups of 37 patients each. During preoperative visit, demographic data was recorded and procedure was explained to patients. Numerical rating score was also explained to patients and written informed consent was taken. In the operating room after insertion of intravenous cannula all patients received midazolam 2 mg and nalbuphine 10 mg. We monitored all patients with pulse oximetry, ECG, blood pressure and capnography. Propofol 2mg/kg was used as induction agent and then atracurium 0.5mg/kg was injected for intubation of trachea. Anaesthesia was maintained with isoflurane 1.5-2% in 50% oxygen.

PECS II block was performed in PECS II group under ultra-sound guidance by a consultant anaesthetist having experience of >50 blocks. Patients were placed supine and upper limb was abducted. Skin was sterilised by using hexiprep® (Chlorhexidine, Isopropyl alchohol. High frequency linear probe (6–12 MHz, Sonosite, USA) was first placed in infra-clavicular region and then moved laterally till pectoralis major and minor muscles were identified. Probe was then moved more laterally and 3rd and 4th ribs and serratus anterior muscle along with both pectoralis muscles were identified as shown in th fig-1. In-plane technique was used to insert a 50-mm nerve-block needle (B Braun, Germany), as shown in fig-2 and 15 ml of bupivacaine 0.25% was injected between serratus anterior and pectoralis minor muscle after negative aspiration. An additional 10ml of bupivacaine 0.25% was injected between both pectoralis muscles after a little withdrawal of needle.

Post-operatively patients were kept in post anaesthesia care unit (PACU). Postoperative analgesia was provided with on-demand nalbuphine. We used NRS for pain measurement (0=no pain and 10=worst pain). Intravenous ondansetron 8mg was used for treatment of nausea or vomiting. Following 4-point satisfaction score was used to record satisfaction of patients (0=poor, 1=fair, 2=good, 3=excellent). Anaesthesia residents in PACU and trained nurses in post-operative ward who were blinded to patient groups kept the record of data. X-ray chest was performed for any patient having difficulty in breathing or desaturating. Primary outcome of our study was consumption of nalbuphine in the first 24 hours. Pain intensity and patient satisfaction scores were secondary outcomes of study. Any complication of the PECS II block (pneumothorax, hematoma formation and local anaesthetic toxicity) and untoward effects of analgesics e.g. nausea, vomiting, dizziness or respiratory depression were also recorded. Quantitative variables like age, weight, BMI were presented in the form of mean ± SD and range. SPSS-20 (Chicago, Illinois) was used for statistical analysis. Independent sample t-test was used for statistical analysis of nominal data. The p<0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS
We included 74 patients undergoing MRM in this study. As shown in table-I both study groups were comparable in age, weight, BMI and duration of surgery.

Patients in PECS II group consumed 9.73 ± 3.46 mg of nalbuphine in 24 hours as compared to control group where nalbuphine consumption was much higher, 16.46 ± 3.78 mg with a p-value of >0.001. Numerical rating scale for pain (NRS) in Control group was very high, more than double of PECS II group, 36.16 ± 5.83 vs 15.89 ± 5.68 and it was significant statistically with a p-value of >0.001. As the patients in PECS II group experienced less pain, so they were more satisfied with their management. Patient satisfaction score
was 3.40 ± 0.72 in PECS II group as compared to control group in which score was 2.27 ± 0.96. It was also significant with a p-value of >0.001, as shown in table II. PECS II group patients didn’t show any block-related complication. Out of 37 patients, 8 (21.62%) patients in control group experienced nausea and out of them 4 (10.81%) vomited, which was successfully managed with intravenous 4mg ondansetron.

Table-I: Patient demographic data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>PECS II Group (P) n=37</th>
<th>Control Group (C) n=37</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>48 (39 - 62)</td>
<td>49 (38 - 65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>57 ± 9.5</td>
<td>58 ± 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>21.67</td>
<td>22.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of Surgery (min)</td>
<td>134 ± 22</td>
<td>128 ± 29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-II: Nalbuphine consumption in 24hrs, NRS and patient satisfaction score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>PECS II Group (P)</th>
<th>Control Group (C)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nalbuphine consumption in 24 hours (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>9.73 ± 3.46</td>
<td>16.46 ± 3.78</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numerical Rating Scale for Pain</td>
<td>15.89 ± 5.68</td>
<td>36.16 ± 5.83</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Satisfaction Score (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>3.40 ± 0.72</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.96</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

For the post-operative pain management of breast surgery several regional techniques like thoracic epidural block, infiltration of local anaesthetic, para-vertebral block and intercostal block have been used. Para-vertebral block is considered as technique of choice for breast surgery pain relief but it may not suit MRM because it does not block pectoral nerves (medial and lateral), long thoracic and thoracodorsal nerves. In extensive breast surgeries, specially which involve axillary dissection, paravertebral block fails to provide complete pain relief. Moreover, this technique is not without its complications and most of the anaesthetists are not familiar and comfortable performing this block.

A better understanding of nerve supply of breast and surrounding chest wall and increasing use of ultrasound among anaesthetists laid the basis for PECS block first described by Blanco. Initially pectoral block was found to be enough for breast expanders and prosthesis. But for extensive procedures like mastectomy and axillary clearance, pectoral block was not enough so PECS II block was designed. Previously wound infiltration and field block after breast surgery has been used but results were not satisfactory. In pectoral block, local anaesthetic is injected between pectoralis major and minor muscle blocking medial and lateral pectoral nerves therefore it is found to be useful in superficial breast surgeries such as breast augmentation. PECS II blocks most of the nerve supply of the breast and is therefore found to be more suitable for breast surgery involving axillary dissection.

Bashandy and colleagues compared efficacy of PECS II block in breast surgery patients and also found it better as compared to general anaesthesia alone. They found less Fentanyl consumption, less nausea and vomiting and shorter hospital stay in PECS II group as compared to control group. Wang et al found that PECS II block showed superior results in patients undergoing breast reconstruction with an implant and latissimus dorsi flap. Zhao et al after searching EMBASE and PubMed for randomized controlled trials of PECS II versus general anaesthesia, concluded that in MRM, PECS II block has been found to be an effective block which reduces the opioids used and there is less requirement for postoperative rescue analgesia.

In our study, we didn’t observe any complication associated with PECS II block. PECS II is a simple and fast acting block and unlike paravertebral and epidural blocks, there is no associated sympathetic block. There are few limitations of our study. First, block was performed after giving general anaesthesia which may have altered postoperative pain pattern. Secondly it was not a placebo-controlled double-blind study although the patients and observers were blinded to assigned groups.
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**CONCLUSION**

This study demonstrates that PECS II block can produce better quality analgesia when combined with general anaesthesia for modified radical mastectomy. It is a simple and highly effective block. We recommend the use of ultrasound for performance of this block as it increases patient safety which is evident from our study as none of our patients develop any block related complications.
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