Diagnostic Accuracy of Multidetector Computed Tomography in Detecting Mesorectal Fascia Involvement in Colorectal Carcinoma
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To determine the diagnostic accuracy of multi detector computed tomography (MDCT) in detecting mesorectal fascia involvement in colorectal carcinoma, taking histopathology as a gold standard.

Study Design: Cross-sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Radiology, Combined Military Hospital Quetta from Jun to Dec 2019.

Methodology: A total of 117 suspected patients of colorectal carcinoma, aged 40-80 years of either gender were included. All the patients underwent MDCT and then were looked for mesorectal fascia involvement. After surgical intervention, the histopathological result of respected specimens was correlated with MDCT findings.

Results: MDCT showed mesorectal fascia involvement in 66 (56.41%) patients. Histopathology confirmed mesorectal fascia involvement in 62 (52.99%) cases, whereas 55 (47.01%) patients revealed no mesorectal fascia involvement. In MDCT positive patients, 56 patients were true positive, while ten patients were false positive. Among 51 MDCT negative patients, 6 were false-negative while 45 were true negative. Overall results of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and diagnostic accuracy of MDCT in detecting mesorectal fascia involvement, taking histopathology as the gold standard was, 90.32%, 81.82%, 84.85%, 88.24% and 86.32% respectively.

Conclusion: This study concluded that MDCT is a recommended modality due to its high sensitivity. It is an accurate modality for pre-operative detecting mesorectal fascia involvement in colorectal carcinoma patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer widely is defined as cancerous growth in the parts of the large gut involving the colon or rectum, or in the appendix.\(^1\) Certain risk factors predispose to colorectal cancer include older people, male gender, relatively increased dietary intake of fat, alcohol, red meat, increased body mass index, smoking and reduced physical activity.\(^2\) Early diagnosis of Colorectal carcinoma is possible by screening 2-3 years before the onset of symptoms. The uncontrolled growth process is initiated in the mucosal lining of the bowel. If left undiagnosed or treatment is not initiated within the time, it can further grow into the muscular bowel layers of the bowel and then can penetrate the serosal layer.\(^3\) Early diagnoses by screening are much more effective in decreasing the mortality from colorectal cancer. It is now recommended that screening should start at the age of 50 years, with surveillance continuing until a person is 75 years old.\(^4\)

Colon cancer is the third most commonly diagnosed malignancy seen in developed countries. It is treated through surgical removal of the tumour with lymph nodes of affected bowels followed by adjuvant chemotherapy for stage II or III colorectal carcinoma. Prognostic factors in this regard include the stage of the tumour (T), extramural vascular invasion (EMVI) and lymphatic nodal involvement (N) and the total number of resected lymph nodes per operatively and lymph node ratio.\(^5,6\) Once the rectal cancer diagnosis is finalized, a treatment line is initiated, which depends on accurate staging, which is done through various factors including depth of tumour invasion, mesorectal fat and fascial structure involvement, the status of surgical margins, invasion into surrounding structures, and peripheral metastasis.\(^7\) These days, accurate preoperative assessment is mandatory as treatment includes an aggressive multi-disciplinary approach that includes associated individual risk factors.\(^8\)

Various imaging studies are currently being used for screening and staging colorectal cancer. Due to multiplaner reconstruction, cross-sectional studies such as CT scans, colonographic studies, magnetic resonance imaging & positron emission tomography
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(PET) provide more accurate anatomic details about primary tumours and the extent of disease involvement.\textsuperscript{9,10} Out of these imaging modalities, CT is a superior diagnostic tool with technological advancement in the documentation of local tumour growth, pre-operative assessment and prognostic values of colon cancers as maintained by the group of European Registration of Cancer Care (EURECCA). Extramural invasion (EMI) is another important factor of prognosis detectable by pre-operative CT with high sensitivity.

The incidence of the development of colorectal carcinoma in a particular region depends mainly on dietary intake, lifestyle and hereditary factors.\textsuperscript{9} This study will help determine the diagnostic accuracy of multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) in the local population with statistics, as there is an inconsistency found in various studies on the values of sensitivity and specificity through MDCT for detection of the magnitude of involvement of mesorectal fascia. In case diagnostic accuracy is found high, then patients can be provided with a non-operative imaging test for the early detection of the extent of colorectal carcinoma and the selection of proper treatment pre-operatively, helping reduce the mortality of these patients.

**METHODOLOGY**

This cross-sectional study was conducted at the Diagnostic radiology department Combined Military Hospital Quetta from June to December 2019. The sample size was calculated to be 117 cases with 95% confidence level, 12% desired precision and taking the prevalence of mesorectal fascia involvement as 56.04% with a sensitivity of 80.40% and specificity of 75.0% MDCT in diagnosing mesorectal fascia involvement.\textsuperscript{11}

The sampling technique was non-probability, consecutive sampling. The study subject was considered as a colorectal carcinoma patient if the patient was losing weight more than 10 kg in six months coupled with the loss of appetite, worsening constipation, having increased body temperature of more than 99\textdegree{} F, presence of attenuating, hypoattenuating or hyperattenuating mass in the rectum on MDCT and extension of <1mm of mesorectal fascia on histopathology.

**Inclusion Criteria:** All the suspected patients of colorectal carcinoma of either gender and age group 40-80 years, were included in the study.

**Exclusion Criteria:** The study did not include all those patients with neoadjuvant chemotherapy, allergy to contrast, chronic liver disease, chronic liver failure, and pregnancy.

The study was conducted after approval of the Ethical Review Committee Board (Certificate no. 005). We enrolled 117 admitted patients in other departments of Combined Military Hospital, Quetta and were referred by the clinician to the Diagnostic Radiology Centre, who fulfilled the inclusion criteria.

While ensuring privacy and confidentiality, consent was sought from patients for plain and contrast-enhanced CT scans. Each Computed Tomography scan findings were interpreted by one consultant radiologist and looked for the involvement of mesorectal fascia (present/absent). All patients have undergone an operation, and the specimen was sent for histopathology. MDCT findings were compared with histopathology report.

**RESULTS**

In our present study age range was from 40-80 years, with a mean age of 57.29 ± 9.50 years. Seventy-nine patients (65.52\%) were between 40-60 years of age group. Among 117 patients, 67 (57.26\%) were males, and 50 (42.74\%) were females. The ratio of males to females was 3:1.

Table-I: Two by two contingency table of multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) in detecting involvement mesorectal fascia in colorectal carcinoma patients, taking histopathological outcome as gold standard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Positive on Histopathology</th>
<th>Negative on Histopathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive on Multidetector Computed Tomography (MDCT)</td>
<td>56 True Positive (TP)</td>
<td>10 False Positive (FP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative on Multidetector Computed Tomography (MDCT)</td>
<td>06 False Negative (FN)</td>
<td>45 True Negative (TN)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average span of cancer was 11.69 ± 2.67 months. All the patients were subjected to MDCT. MDCT showed mesorectal fascia involvement in 66
(56.41%) patients. Histopathology confirmed mesorectal fascia involvement in 62 (52.99%) cases, whereas 55 (47.01%) patients revealed no mesorectal fascia involvement, as shown in Table I. Of MDCT positive patients, 56 of these were true-positive, while ten patients were false-positive. Of 51 MDCT negative patients, 6 patients were false-negative while 45 were true-negative.

The Overall documented MDCT sensitivity was 90.32%, specificity 81.82%, positive predictive value 84.85%, negative predictive value 88.24% and diagnostic accuracy 86.32% in detecting mesorectal fascia involvement taking histopathology as the gold standard shown in Table II.

Table II: Diagnostic parameters of multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) in detecting involvement of mesorectal fascia in colorectal carcinoma patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic Parameters</th>
<th>Equations</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>TP/(TP+FN)</td>
<td>90.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>TN/(TN+FP)</td>
<td>81.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Predictive Value</td>
<td>TP/(TP+FP)</td>
<td>84.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Predictive Value</td>
<td>TN/(TN+FN)</td>
<td>88.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic Accuracy</td>
<td>(TP+TN)/All Patients</td>
<td>86.32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

This study showed that multi detector computed tomography (MDCT) is a highly sensitive and accurate modality in detecting mesorectal fascia involvement in colorectal carcinoma and has not only dramatically improved our ability to detect mesorectal fascia involvement in colorectal carcinoma patients but also improves patient care both by timely and proper surgical treatment, which consequently reduces complications.

Cancer involving the colon and rectum is becoming more common and represents the fourth leading cause of cancer-related deaths. It is now the 2nd most common malignancy globally and represents approximately one million newly diagnosed colorectal cancers per year. The most commonly diagnosed colorectal cancers involve the rectum in 1/3 of cases, commonly involved sites seen in 40% of cases within 6 cm of the anal opening. As colonoscopy and biopsy remain the gold standard for definitive diagnosis, the role of traditional radiologic imaging is of utmost importance concerning the local staging of patients with a known diagnosis. It helps in local and distant stages of the disease. The role of radiological imaging inaccurate local and distant staging is well documented, with multidetector computed tomography (MDCT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and positron emission tomography (PET) offering valuable tools in the identification of tumours spread to the lungs, liver and distant nodal involvement. These are the three most common sites of distant metastatic colorectal cancers.

In our study, MDCT positive patients, 56 patients were true-positive, while 10 patients were false-positive. In 51 MDCT negative patients, six were false-negative while 45 were true-negative. The Overall documented MDCT sensitivity was 90.32%, specificity 81.82%, positive predictive value 84.85%, negative predictive value 88.24% and diagnostic accuracy 86.32% in detecting mesorectal fascia involvement, taking histopathology as the gold standard. Two studies, however, carried out found diagnostic accuracy in detecting mesorectal fascia involvement in 56.04 % patients of colorectal carcinoma showed different sensitivities of 80.40% versus 33.0% and specificities of 75.0% versus 81.0% respectively.

Multiple studies have been conducted over the last 15 years on the role of MDCT as a diagnostic tool for the local and distant staging of the tumour. However, the results have been mixed. CT is now being used as the initial imaging modality for rectal cancer staging because of its wide availability and relatively quick scanning times. Because of this, MDCT can scan the entire abdomen, pelvis and chest for local staging and distant metastases side by side. However, the role of MDCT is of limited value for early-stage i.e T1 and T2 tumours. For evaluation of these lesions, EUS is much more effective. CT scan has led to over-staging these early-stage cancers (T1 or T2) as T3 tumours for these limitations.

Recently, few reports have shown that computed tomography (CT) staging in rectal cancer is quite accurate in estimating the extent of the disease and helpful in planning the treatment of rectal cancer. CT is used to stage rectal.

Carcinomas before initiation of treatment, staging of recurrent disease, and detection of distant metastases after surgical intervention. These days, as a part of pre-surgical.

Planning, CT is being used for pre-operative assessment of the growth and involvement of adjacent structures, including the fat and pelvis muscles. In a study, the percentage of carcinoma of the colon was 60%. The accuracy of detection of CRC in poorly prepared bowel on CT is documented to have an accuracy.
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of approx. 80% with sensitivities of 75-100% and specificities of 86-96%.

CONCLUSION

This study concluded that MDCT is a recommended modality due to its high sensitivity. It is an accurate modality for pre-operative detecting mesorectal fascia involvement in colorectal carcinoma patients.

Conflict of Interest: None.

Authors’ Contribution

MP., JA: Direct, concept, design, data collection and analysis, LK.; OA: Concept and design, AT: Concept, data analysis, HS: Concept and design.

REFERENCES